UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/656,134	09/08/2003	Valerie De La Poterie	230251US0	8666
	10/656,134 09/08/2003 Valerie De La Poterie	EXAMINER		
1940 DUKE STREET		VENKAT, JYOTHSNA A		
ALEXANDRIA	ALEAANDRIA, VA 22514		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1619	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/21/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/656,134	DE LA POTERIE ET AL.	
Examiner-induced interview Cammary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	JYOTHSNA A. VENKAT	1619	
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Fina</u>	al rejection	
(1) <u>JYOTHSNA A. VENKAT</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>Daniel J. Periera</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 8 June 2010	Time:		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)		
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: 112, first paragraph, 103 rejections and ODP rejections			
Claims discussed: as of record			
Prior art documents discussed: U. S. Patents, 5519063 ('063); 6,946,518 ('518); 6949504 ('504);)	7129276 ('276); 7255870 ('870); e	and PGPUB 2004/	0005279 ('279
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:	
Part III.			
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate red 	e examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the interview, since	ne substance
did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary	/ by the examiner appears in P	'art II above.	
/JYOTHSNA A VENKAT / Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1619	nnlicent/Annlicent's Penrecentet	ive Signature - if (oppropriete)
(A	pplicant/Applicant's Representati	ive bighaidhe – Il a	ърргорпаю)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: examiner informed the attorney that 112, first paragraph rejection is withdrawn and the application is in condition for allowance subjecting to deletion of "fatty rheological agents and mixtures there of " and reciting specific semi crystalline polymer species (6) described at page 21 and submitting common ownership statements over PGPUB '279, and patents '518, '504, '276 and '870 since all these documents describe the same species described at page 21 of the specification. If applicants do not adopt the suggestions then the above patents raise issue under 103 and also under obviousness type double patenting rejection since the patents teach and claim " semi crystalline polymers" and PGPUB only teach since the application is abandoned and thus no issue of obviousness type double patenting rejection. Examiner also informed the attorney that patent '063 teaches semi crystalline polymer under "mascara" and this document date is not a 102 (e) date but 102 (b) date.